Kiger Association
Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 5	5	25	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) 4	5	20	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 4	2	8	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 5	1	5	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) 4	2	8	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 66

Add Preference Points

0-10	5	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	0	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	5	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	7	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS 83

~~Reviewer Conflict of Interest:~~ Excellent grant project. Bingo

Comments: family oriented.

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☒ YES ☐ NO   Partial funding: $ 10000

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the XXth of August, 2013

Kiger / Babe Ruth Tournament
Name of Applicant

Susan Murphen
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) _4_	5	_20_	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _4_	5	_20_	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _2_	2	_4_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _5_	1	_5_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) _3_	2	_6_	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _55_

Add Preference Points

0-10	_____	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_5_	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	_6_	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_8_	Family Friendliness
	_19_	

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS _74_

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____
_____
_____

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☑ YES ☐ NO   **Partial funding: $**_____
Form # KCF 3008
Revised the XXth of August, 2013

Kiger
Name of Applicant

$10,000

Matt Dodson
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 5	5	25	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) 4	5	20	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 5	2	10	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 4	1	4	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) 3	2	6	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 65

Add Preference Points

	Points	
0-10	0	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	0	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	9	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	10	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS 84

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____
_____
_____
_____

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☑ YES ☐ NO   **Partial funding: $**_____
Form # KCF 3008
Revised the XXth of August, 2013

RIGRT
Name of Applicant

G. OBRIEN
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) _____	5	_5_	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _____	5	_5_	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _____	2	_3_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _____	1	_4_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) _____	2	_5_	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _22_

Add Preference Points

0-10	_0_	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_0_	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	_10_	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_5_	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_0_	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_0_	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS _37_

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

_____

_____

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☒ YES ☐ NO   Partial funding: $_____
Form # KCF 3008
Revised the XXth of August, 2013

Chamber of Commerce Kiger   10T   _marquez_
Name of Applicant   Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 5	5	25	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) 5	5	25	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 5	2	10	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 4	1	4	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) 5	2	10	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 74

Add Preference Points

0-10	_____	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_____	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	10	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	10	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

| -10 | _____ | Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time |
| -5 | _____ | Vague or rambling responses. |

TOTAL POINTS   94

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _Superb opportunity to bring pdx's to Klamath. Good candidate for (full) larger grant if_

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☑ YES ☐ NO   Partial funding: $ _____

Babe Ruth tournament is secured

(10 T)

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the XXth of August, 2013

Kiger Assoc
Name of Applicant

Chip Massie
Name of Reviewer

Fall 2013_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) _4_	5	_20_	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _5_	5	_25_	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _5_	2	_10_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _3_	1	_3_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) _2_	2	_4_	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _62_

<u>Add Preference Points</u>

0-10	_0_	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_0_	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	_10_	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_10_	Family Friendliness

<u>Deduct Penalty Points</u>

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS _82_

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

.

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☒ YES ☐ NO   Partial funding: $_____