

Trip Advisor

Name of Applicant

Risa

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	5	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>8</u>	10	<u>80</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	10	<u>40</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>350</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

DK Trip Advisor

CHIP

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>9</u>	10	<u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>5</u>	10	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>9</u>	10	<u>90</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>9</u>	10	<u>90</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	10	<u>50</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>420</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ Full

DK/Trip Advisor
Name of Applicant

Maque
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Traditional Program Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>100</u> ✓	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-10) <u>5</u>	5	<u>50</u> ✓	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Are the budget and marketing plan realistic?
(1-10) <u>10</u>	10	<u>100</u> ✓	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the project will leverage funding?
(1-10) <u>5</u>	10	<u>50</u> ✓	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how the organization will create sustainable marketing networks?
(1-10) <u>3</u>	10	<u>30</u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	10	<u>50</u> ✓	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		<u>275</u>	

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: YES NO Partial funding: \$ _____

10k report